UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/577,014	04/28/2006	Hisashi Sano	127659	5986
25944 OLIFF & BERI	7590 05/10/201 RIDGE, PLC	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 3208	50		CHU, RANDOLPH I	
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320-4850			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2624	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/10/2011	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

OfficeAction25944@oliff.com jarmstrong@oliff.com

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/577,014	SANO, HISASHI				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		RANDOLPH I. CHU	2624				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE in a solid strength of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirr vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	N. tely filed the mailing date of this c (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1) 🔀	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09 M</u>	arch 2011.					
′ —	·	action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowar		secution as to the	e merits is			
-, -	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
D'	·						
· · ·	on of Claims						
•	4) Claim(s) <u>10-30</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	6) Claim(s) <u>10-30</u> is/are rejected.						
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)[]	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
·	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).				
•	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	,	() ()				
,	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents		on No				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		Stage			
	application from the International Bureau	(PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	d.				
Attachmen	t(s)						
_	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate				
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application				
	rademark Office	, <u> </u>					

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. In response to applicant's amendment received on 3/9/2011, all requested changes to the claims have been entered.

Response to Argument

2. Applicant's arguments filed on 3/9/2011 have been considered but they are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 10-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/577,014

Art Unit: 2624

With respect to claim 10, 13 and 14, no where in the specification describe "partitioning a whole area of the transformed image to be obtained by a projection transformation of the original image into a plurality of regions, wherein none of the regions is intersected by any of two mutually perpendicular axes that extend in a plane containing the transformed image and pass through an origin of the whole area, at least one of the regions has a side of the region defined by one of the axes", paragraph [0010] and [0013]-[0015] describe "partitioning an image", but no, no where in the specification describe above limitation.

Page 3

4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 10-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

With respect to "partitioning" limitation in claim 10, 13 and 14, it is not clear what "none of the regions is intersected by any of two mutually perpendicular axes that extend in a plane containing the transformed image and pass through an origin of the whole area, at least one of the regions has a side of the region defined by one of the axes" means.

Application/Control Number: 10/577,014 Page 4

Art Unit: 2624

Conclusion

1. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

2. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

Application/Control Number: 10/577,014 Page 5

Art Unit: 2624

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to RANDOLPH I. CHU whose telephone number is (571)270-1145. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Thursday from 7:30 am - 5 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vikkram Bali can be reached on 571-272-7415. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/RIC/

/Anand Bhatnagar/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2624 May 5, 2011